

1 **Assessment of mercury exposure in human populations: a status report from**  
2 **Augusta Bay (southern Italy)**

3 *Maria Bonsignore<sup>†</sup>, Nunzia Andolfi<sup>‡</sup>, Marco Barra<sup>§</sup>, Anselmo Madeddu<sup>‡</sup>, Francesco*

4 *Tisano<sup>‡</sup>, Vincenzo Ingallinella<sup>‡</sup>, Maria Castorina<sup>‡</sup>, Mario Sprovieri<sup>†\*</sup>*

5 *\*Corresponding author: [mario.sprovieri@iamc.cnr.it](mailto:mario.sprovieri@iamc.cnr.it)*

6 *Telephone: +39 0924 40600; fax: +39 0924 40445*

7 <sup>†</sup> Institute for Coastal and Marine Environment (IAMC – CNR), Via del Mare, 3, 91021 Torretta 10  
8 Granitola, Campobello di Mazara (TP), Italy

9 <sup>‡</sup> Laboratory of Public Health - ASP of Syracuse - Corso Gelone, 17 96100, Syracuse, Italy

10 <sup>§</sup> Institute for Coastal and Marine Environment (IAMC – CNR), Calata Porta di Massa, Interno  
11 Porto di Napoli, 80133 Naples, Italy  
12

1 **Abstract**

2 Here we investigate mercury concentrations in the blood (HgB), urine (HgU) and human hair  
3 (HgH) of 224 individuals from a coastal area (Eastern Sicily, SE Italy) strongly affected by Hg  
4 contamination from one of the largest chlor-alkali plants in Europe. The factors affecting the  
5 distribution of Hg and the extent of the exposure of individuals have been explored with a  
6 multidisciplinary approach. Multiple regression analyses, together with evidence of high levels of  
7 HgB (exceeding the HBMI recommended levels in 50% of cases) and HgH (exceeding the EPA  
8 reference dose in 70% of cases), primarily suggest that the consumption of local fish is the main  
9 source of Hg for humans. No significant exposure to inorganic mercury was identified.  
10 Toxicokinetic calculations produced a provisional tolerable weekly intake (PTWI) level that, in  
11 most cases, exceeds international recommendations, particularly for residents in the studied area.

12

13 **Keywords**

14 Mercury; Toxicity; Human biomonitoring.

15

## 1 **1. Introduction**

2 Due to its high versatility, mercury (Hg) is used intensively in a number of human activities such as  
3 industry, pharmacology, gold mining and agriculture. Among the anthropogenic contributions,  
4 chlor-alkali plants contribute up to 15% of total European Hg anthropogenic emissions (Pacyna et  
5 al., 2001). Unfortunately, the use of Hg is often connected to straight environmental damage that  
6 could be possibly associated with episodes of human intoxication (De Flora et al., 1994; Sanfeliu et  
7 al., 2003; Baird and Cann 2004). Organometallic methylmercury (MHg), which is primarily  
8 ingested through a seafood diet, is the most dangerous Hg form and, due to its high solubility in  
9 lipids, can have adverse effects on the liver, reproductive organs (JOINT FAO/ WHO, 2003), and  
10 central and peripheral nervous systems (Sanfeliu et al., 2003; Pinheiro et al., 2006, 2007; Crespo-  
11 Lopez et al., 2007). Furthermore, the capacity of MHg to cross the placental barrier represents a  
12 dangerous risk for proper fetal development (Guzzi, La Porta, 2008). On the other hand, exposure to  
13 metallic mercury (Hg<sup>0</sup>) and inorganic mercury (IHg), which mainly occurs in occupational  
14 environments or by releases from amalgam fillings (WHO, 2003), is usually associated with brain  
15 and kidney diseases (WHO, 1991; Clarkson and Magos, 2006). Over the past century, increasing  
16 awareness of the risks to human health associated with environmental Hg exposure (Guzzi, La  
17 Porta, 2008) was the stimulus behind intensive and specific human biomonitoring programs aimed  
18 at assessing levels of Hg exposure and environmental risks for groups of individuals living in close  
19 proximity to highly contaminated sites (Angerer et al., 2007). Once ingested, MHg is rapidly  
20 absorbed into the red blood cells, bound to hemoglobin and distributed to the tissues and brain,  
21 where it is slowly converted to IHg, probably at a rate of about 1% of the body burden per day  
22 (Clarkson and Magos, 2006; Guzzi, La Porta, 2008). As a consequence, Hg concentrations in blood  
23 (HgB) usually increase with the frequency of fish consumption, and are widely used as a tracer  
24 exposure to MHg (Wilhelm et al., 2004). Together with HgB, investigations of mercury in human  
25 hair (HgH) can also reflect MHg exposure (Airey, 1983; Matsubara and Machida, 1985; Shao et al.,  
26 2013). However, due to the high stability of the Hg incorporated in scalp hair (with a nearly stable

1 growth rate of 1 mm/month; Phelps et al., 1980; WHO, 1990), it is generally adopted as a specific  
2 tracer in the assessment of Hg exposure on a time-scale ranging from weeks to months (JECFA,  
3 2003). Finally, Hg in urine (HgU) is used extensively as a biological marker to assess chronic  
4 exposure to inorganic Hg, mainly in the form of Hg<sup>0</sup>, in humans (WHO, 2003; Barregard et al.,  
5 2006). This is because, once inhaled, the Hg<sup>0</sup> vapor is absorbed by the lungs and eliminated through  
6 urine and fecal excretions (Guzzi, La Porta, 2008). The petrochemical district of Priolo (SE Italy),  
7 which is delimited by the municipalities of Augusta, Melilli and Priolo, is a highly polluted area  
8 affected by the uncontrolled discharge of chemical pollutants from industry (Ausili et al., 2008). In  
9 particular, since the early 1960s, Augusta has hosted one of the largest chlor-alkali plant in Europe  
10 (Le Donne and Ciafani, 2008) which has discharged huge amounts of Hg into the environment.  
11 Although discharge activities were definitively stopped in 2005 (Colombo et al., 2005), the Hg  
12 contamination from the chlor-alkali plant is by far the most important environmental issue. Indeed  
13 recent investigations have denounced a severe state of degradation in the Augusta's sediment (up to  
14 788 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) (ICRAM, 2008; Bellucci et al., 2011; Sprovieri et al., 2011; Orecchio and Polizzotto,  
15 2013) and evasional fluxes into atmosphere (9.7±0.1 g d<sup>-1</sup>; Bagnato et al., 2013). Ecotoxicological  
16 investigations in the area have revealed crucial DNA damage in mussels and red mullet (Ausili et  
17 al., 2008; ICRAM, 2008) and genotoxic harm in *Coris julis* (Tomasello et al., 2012). Recently,  
18 Bonsignore et al. (2013) have reported high levels of Hg in different species of fish collected from  
19 both inside and outside Augusta Bay (up to 2.7 and 9.7 µg g<sup>-1</sup> in muscles and liver respectively) and  
20 the relative values of target hazard quotient (THQ) and estimated weekly intake (EWI) have advised  
21 that the consumption of fish from this area could represent a serious risk to the health of local  
22 human populations. Moreover, using Hg isotopic signatures from sediment, fish and human hair,  
23 Bonsignore et al. (2015) traced the sources and processes that transfer this contaminant from the  
24 sediment to the human population in the Augusta environment. Furthermore, significant human  
25 health concerns, including an alarming increase in spontaneous abortions, neonatal malformations

1 and mortality rate have been denounced in this area, especially in Augusta (Madeddu et al., 2001,  
2 2003; Bianchi et al., 2004).

3 The objective of this study is to assess and quantify the human exposure to Hg in the Augusta area  
4 and the key factors that influence the distribution and extent of the contamination. The Hg content  
5 in blood, urine and hair were discussed with regard to the frequency of local fish consumption,  
6 gender, age, body mass index (BMI) and education level. A toxicokinetic model was used in order  
7 to determine the effective dietary MHg intake. Moreover the estimated dietary intake previously  
8 reported for fish collected in the area (Bonsignore et al., 2013) was used to predict the expected Hg  
9 concentration in blood in individuals with exclusive local fish-based diet.

## 10 **2. Materials and methods**

### 11 ***2.1 Sample design***

12 Randomly selected individuals living in the Augusta, Melilli and Priolo municipalities (Fig. 1) were  
13 contacted by phone and asked to participate in the biomonitoring study. A sample (~1% of the total  
14 population for each town), stratified according to the age and gender of the participants, was used to  
15 represent the entire municipality (Table 1). At the time of recruitment, 400 participants filled an  
16 extensive questionnaire to help provide a quick assessment of demographic information, health  
17 status, BMI, the frequency of seafood consumption and education level (see the details reported in  
18 the questionnaire, Supplementary Material). Obesity, loss of weight (>10 Kg during the last year),  
19 cancer, and housing (for more than 10 years) in the study area were adopted as exclusion criteria for  
20 the biological sampling to prevent bias in the analysis. The frequency of fish consumption was  
21 evaluated by distinguishing between fish and shellfish origins (local vs. non-local markets). Among  
22 individuals who provided the consent for sample taking, a total of 224 individuals in the age classes  
23 20-24, 25-29, 30-34, 35-39 and 40-44 were selected for the biological sampling (Table 1).

### 24 ***2.2 Mercury measurements***

1 Collection of biological samples was performed by the paramedical staff of the Public Hygiene  
2 Service (ASP N°8) of Augusta during the period within October 2012 and April 2013. Blood  
3 samples were collected in tubes containing lithium heparinate, while the first morning urine samples  
4 were deep frozen (-20°C) until the analyses. Finally, using stainless steel scissors, a ~1 g hair  
5 sample was taken from the nape of the neck, close to the occipital region of the scalp, and kept in a  
6 clean polyethylene bag until analyses. The total Hg in the untreated blood, human hair and urine  
7 was measured by the Laboratory of Public Health of Syracuse (ASP N°8) by a Direct Mercury  
8 Analyzer (DMA80 atomic absorption spectrophotometer, Milestone, Wesleyan University,  
9 Middletown, CT, USA) according to the US EPA 7473 method.

10 The limit of detection of the method (LOD), estimated as three times standard deviations of the  
11 blank samples, was 0.020 µg/L blood, 0.015 µg/L urine and 0.003 µg/g hair, respectively. Accuracy  
12 was checked by running replicates of the reference materials (RM) NCS ZC 81002b (1.06 ± 0.28  
13 µg/g), Seronorm™ Trace Elements Urine L-2 (39.8 ± 0.8 µg/L) and Seronorm™ Trace Elements  
14 Whole Blood L-2 (15.2 ± 0.8 µg/L) and L-3 (31.4 ± 1.7 µg/L). Bench quality control material was  
15 measured at the start of each analytical run (set of 20 samples) for quality assurance and control.

16 The measured values were, on average, within ±5% of the recommended values. In order to check  
17 the reproducibility of the analysis, about 20% of the samples were analysed in triplicate. The  
18 coefficient of variation was between 2.4 and 3.2%.

### 19 ***2.3 Toxicokinetic model***

20 We used a one-compartment toxicokinetic model (US EPA, 2001) (equ. 1) to calculate both the  
21 daily dietary intake at the measured levels of blood and the expected Hg concentrations in blood  
22 (µg/L) in individuals with a local fish-based diet using the estimated dietary intake of Hg previously  
23 reported by Bonsignore et al. (2013) for the same area:

$$24 \quad c = \frac{d \times A \times F \times bw}{b \times V} \quad (1)$$

1 Where:

2

3 **c** = average concentration in blood ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ ).

4 **d** = daily dietary intake ( $\mu\text{g/kg/day}$ ).

5 **b** = elimination constant ( $0.014 \text{ days}^{-1}$ ).

6 **V** = volume of blood in the body (5 L).

7 **A** = absorption factor (0.95).

8 **F** = fraction of absorbed dose taken up by blood (0.059).

9 **bw** = body weight (67 kg).

10

## 11 **2.4 Statistical analysis**

12 In a first step, specific descriptors (maximum, minimum, mean, median, 75<sup>th</sup> and 25<sup>th</sup> quartiles)  
13 were calculated to characterize the Hg levels in individuals from each municipality (Table 2). Then,  
14 because the data were right-skewed, statistical differences in the Hg levels in blood, urine and hair  
15 between the municipalities were assessed using non-parametric methods (Kruskal Wallis ANOVA).  
16 Secondly, multiple linear regression analyses were applied to the entire data set to assess the effects  
17 of diet, age, BMI, gender and level of education on the Hg concentrations in the collected blood,  
18 urine and hair samples. Specifically, factors related to food consumption were coded according to  
19 the frequency of consumption (“never/rarely” = 0; “1-2 times per week” = 1; “3-4 times per week”  
20 = 2; and “>4 times per week” = 3), while elements related to education were ranked based on the  
21 level of instruction. Factors assuming only a double state (gender) were coded as binary factors (1:  
22 male, 0: female). Working on “raw” Hg concentrations, diagnostic regression plots evidenced the  
23 presence of trends in the “residuals vs. fitted values”, as well as a skewed distribution of residuals  
24 (probably due to non-linear relationships between the response variable and predictors). The Hg  
25 concentrations in blood and hair were cubic root transformed, while log transformation was used for  
26 the urine. The significance level was set at  $p < 0.05$  for all the analyses. All the statistical analyses  
27 were run in the R statistical environment (R Project for Statistical Computing; [http://www.r-](http://www.r-project.org/)  
28 [project.org/](http://www.r-project.org/)).

## 29 **3. Results**

### 30 **3.1 Levels of mercury in blood, hair and urine.**

1 The median HgB content in the entire studied population was 4.90 µg/L. Extremely high values  
2 were measured in the sample from the Augusta area (median 7.30 µg/L), while the median values  
3 were lower for those from both Melilli and Priolo (2.90 µg/L and 3.70 µg/L, respectively) (Table 2;  
4 Fig. 2). The median HgH value measured for the whole population was 1.47 µg/g. The highest  
5 concentrations were found in the Augusta residents (median 1.90 µg/g), while relatively lower  
6 values were documented in the Melilli and Priolo samples (median 1.24 and 1.00 µg/g,  
7 respectively). The median HgU (0.80 µg/L in the whole population) level was higher in the Augusta  
8 (0.95 µg/L) and lower in the Melilli (0.55 µg/L) and Priolo participants (0.70 µg/L) (Table 2; Fig.  
9 2).

### 10 ***3.2 Information on local seafood consumption***

11 Most of the participants (58-63%) declared to eat “local fish” (namely organisms fished from  
12 Augusta Bay) once or twice a week, with a significant number (2-18%) doing so more than three  
13 times a week (Table 3). The consumption of local shellfish was generally less, and most of those  
14 interviewed (64-88%) rarely consumed it. Among the three municipalities, the Augusta citizens  
15 consum local fish more frequently than those from Melilli and Priolo, and just a small percentage  
16 (22%) of them declared to consume local fish rarely (Table 3).

## 17 **4. Discussion**

### 18 ***4.1 Contamination status***

19 The measured HgB values were much higher than the reference values (RV) of the Italian  
20 population (1.68-2.40 µg/L; Human Biomonitoring Commission, 1999; Wilhelm et al., 2004;  
21 Alimonti et al., 2011; Miklavčič et al., 2013) (Fig. 3a). In ~ 50% of cases, the HgB levels exceeded  
22 the alert value fixed by the Human Biomonitoring Commission (1999) (HBMI = 5 µg/L), which  
23 represents the concentration below which no risk of adverse health effects occurs. In ~16% of the  
24 studied individuals, the HgB levels were higher than the action value HBMI (15 µg/L), which has  
25 been demonstrated to be the threshold of relevant risks for health consequences (Fig. 3a).

1 Statistically significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ; K-W, ANOVA) were found between the levels of Hg  
2 measured in the blood of the Augusta individuals compared to those from Melilli and Priolo,  
3 suggesting a preferential exposure to Hg in the first area. Indeed, considering the distribution modes  
4 in the three municipalities, the participants from Augusta had HgB exceeding: i) the RV in 85% of  
5 cases, ii) the HBMI in 64% of cases and iii) the HBMI threshold in 26% of cases, while the HgB  
6 levels in individuals from Melilli and Priolo exceeded the RV in 72% of cases, the HBMI  
7 thresholds in 31% of cases and the HBMI level in just a few situations (4%) (Fig. 3a). As for the  
8 blood samples, the HgH levels exceeded in most cases (~60%) the Italian RV (mean: 1.14  
9  $\mu\text{g/g}$ ; Madeddu et al., 2004) (Fig. 3b). Based on Faroe Island and Seychelles' studies, the US  
10 Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) adopted a reference dose (RfD) for MHg of 0.1  $\mu\text{g/kg}$   
11 of body weight/day (US EPA, 2001, 2010), which was established on the basis of the  
12 neurodevelopmental effects associated with in utero exposure and a maternal hair mercury  
13 concentration of 1.0  $\mu\text{g/g}$  (NRC, 2000). More than 70% of the analyzed samples herein had HgH  
14 levels above the US EPA RfD, 35% of them exceeded the WHO "normal level" (2  $\mu\text{g/g}$ ), but the  
15 tolerance threshold of 10  $\mu\text{g/g}$  declared by WHO (WHO 1990) was rarely overtaken (1%) (Fig. 3b).  
16 However Hg-related neuropsychological dysfunction has been observed in children even at Hg  
17 levels below 10  $\mu\text{g/g}$  dry wt in maternal head hair samples from the Tapajos River basin in Brazil,  
18 the Faroe Islands, and Denmark (Grandjean et al., 1997, 1999). Once again in this study the  
19 Augusta individuals contained the highest Hg levels in hair ( $p < 0.05$ ; K-W, ANOVA), exceeding by  
20 81% the EPA RfD and by 47% the WHO "normal level" (Fig. 3b). No remarkable anomalies were  
21 recorded for the HgU values, which were generally comparable or sometimes lower than the Italian  
22 RV (0.8-3.5  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ; Minoia et al., 1990; Apostoli et al., 2002a; Soleo et al., 2003; Barregard et al.,  
23 2006). The HBM I value for urine (7  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ) was surpassed just in five individuals from Augusta  
24 (Fig. 3c).

25 A statistically significant relationship was observed between the HgB and HgH values of Augusta  
26 ( $r^2=0.70$ ), Melilli ( $r^2=0.79$ ) and Priolo ( $r^2=0.46$ ) individuals (Fig. 4). This analogous response

1 significantly revealed that both biomarkers documented the exposure to MHg via fish consumption .  
2 Magos and Clarkson (2008) have demonstrated that human scalp hair proportionally concentrates  
3 the MHg in blood by forming a MeHg-cysteine complex. The average hair-blood ratio in humans  
4 has been estimated to be about 250:1  $\mu\text{g/g-mgHg/L}$  (WHO, 1990), but in the absence of acute  
5 exposure, the concentrations of mercury are much higher in hair than in blood (estimated ratios of  
6 370:1; Phelps et al., 1980; Shrestha and Fornerino, 1982). A calculated hair/blood ratio of 350:1  
7  $\mu\text{g/g-mgHg/L}$  suggested that the studied population suffers from a chronic exposure rather than  
8 acute effects. As mentioned above, HgU is generally used as a reliable bio-indicator of chronic  
9 exposure to inorganic Hg, which is usually associated with occupational atmospheric exposure or  
10 dental amalgam fillings.

11 The lack of significant anomalies in the urine samples demonstrated the absence of additional  
12 source of Hg in the form of IHg. This is in agreement with the moderate levels of atmospheric Hg  
13 measured at downtown Augusta ( $1.1\pm 0.3 \text{ ng m}^{-3}$ ; Bagnato et al., 2013).

#### 14 ***4.2 Risk assessment of mercury exposure***

15 The Figure 3 (a, b) evidenced that the highest levels of Hg in both blood and hair samples were  
16 found in individuals that consume higher amount of local fish. Specifically, the highest measured  
17 HgB value ( $33.6 \mu\text{g/L}$ ) refers to an Augusta individual (A20; Table S1, Supplementary material)  
18 who declared to consume local fish three-four times a week, while the lowest value ( $0.10 \mu\text{g/L}$ )  
19 refers to a Priolo citizen who declared to “rarely” consume local fish (P37; Table S1,  
20 Supplementary material). The effect of local fish consumption on the levels of Hg in the biological  
21 samples was more evident in the Augusta dataset where individuals who frequently consume local  
22 fish ( $n=24$ ) have mean blood Hg contents more than twice than those doing it rarely ( $n=28$ ) (HgB:  
23  $14.68 \pm 8.86$  and  $6.10 \pm 6.31 \mu\text{g/L}$  respectively) (Table S1, Supplementary material). Similar  
24 considerations can be done for Hg content in hair samples, where the differences are even greater  
25 (mean HgB:  $4.46\pm 2.96$  and  $1.49 \pm 1.14 \mu\text{g/L}$  for the categories of local fish eaters “3-4 times a

1 week” and “never/rarely”) (Table S1, Supplementary material). Noteworthy, the highest HgH value  
2 (10.5 µg/L) was found in an Augusta citizen (A93; Table S1, Supplementary material) who declared  
3 to consume local fish three-four times a week, while the lowest value (0.04 µg/L) refers to subjects  
4 who “rarely” do it (A116 and P22; Table S1, Supplementary material). Averagely lower values of  
5 both HgB and HgH were measured in Melilli and Priolo subjects where the consumption of local  
6 fish is less common (Table 3). In Augusta, the effect of local fish consumption is clearly evidenced  
7 by the extreme levels of Hg measured in the blood of individuals who declared to eat local fish  
8 during the three days before samples collection (mean: 18.59±9.49 µg/L; n=22). In order to  
9 investigate the contribution of specific factors to the mercury levels in the blood, urine and hair  
10 samples multiple regression analysis was performed. Regression analyses were run on the overall  
11 data set without specific discrimination between the three municipalities. In fact, we were primarily  
12 interested to the macro-scale driver of Hg exposure for the residents living around Augusta Bay,  
13 and assumed that micro-scale effects at the level of a single municipality could, at this stage of the  
14 work, be minimized and were not statistically suggestive. The results showed that local fish  
15 consumption and education level were significantly associated with HgB, HgH and HgU levels,  
16 while age effects appeared to influence exclusively the level of Hg in the blood (Table 4). A  
17 significant association between gender and Hg concentrations only emerged for HgH. No  
18 significant relationship was found with BMI. Looking at standardized coefficients, it was also  
19 evident that, among the considered factors, the consumption of local fish has a major influence,  
20 suggesting that ingestion of seafood is a key and the primary driver of the increased Hg levels in  
21 blood and hair. Furthermore, a significant effect of education level is evident in all the models  
22 (Table 4). However, contrary to expectations, the relationship is positive. Indeed, a higher  
23 educational level is generally related to a greater awareness of environmental/health problems. On  
24 the other hand, taking into account that education level is usually associated with higher socio-  
25 economic conditions, as well the result suggested that a better economic status, together with  
26 limited information about the risks associated with the consumption of polluted fish, could be the

1 most reasonable explanation for this result. Moreover, a significant influence of the age factor on  
2 blood Hg levels was found. Although this evidence has already been observed in several other  
3 studies (Wennberg et al., 2006; Schulz et al., 2007; Caldwell et al., 2009; Jenssen et al., 2012), the  
4 reasons for an age-related increase in Hg in blood are unclear and cannot be explained exclusively  
5 by diet. On the other hand, the effect of gender was evidenced in the Hg content in hair (Table 4),  
6 with men consuming slightly higher amounts of local fish than women. However, this effect was  
7 not confirmed by the Hg content measured in blood. We speculate that the effect of gender may  
8 only be appreciable over a longer time-scale, although intra-specific variations in metabolism, such  
9 as absorption rates or excretion, might also explain the observed sex-related differences (Canuel et  
10 al., 2006; Mergler et al., 2007). The adjusted  $R^2$  were quite low in all cases (Table 4), particularly  
11 for HgU, highlighting that only part of the measured variation in the Hg content could be directly  
12 explained by the considered factors. This is in agreement with the results reported by Golding et al.  
13 (2013) who tried to quantify the contribution of the components of the maternal diet to prenatal  
14 blood Hg levels by way of a multiple regression analysis. The results obtained emphasized the  
15 complexity and generally non-linear mechanisms involved in mercury absorption. Specific diets  
16 could have a specific effect in terms of limiting Hg absorption and accumulation (Passos et al.,  
17 2003, Golding et al., 2013). Moreover, the interaction between the different factors plays an  
18 important role in determining the Hg concentrations in different tissues. Such interactions are  
19 difficult to model when limited specific factors are considered and without any prior knowledge of  
20 the biological processes involved.

#### 21 *4.2.1 Source of Hg exposure*

22 Recently Bonsignore et al. (2015), using Hg isotopic signatures, suggested active mechanisms of  
23 Hg transfer from the polluted sediment of Augusta Bay to the biota compartment and, subsequently,  
24 to the local fish consumers. The application of the toxicokinetic model provided the opportunity to  
25 investigate the effective intake of Hg through fish consumption at known concentrations of Hg in

1 the blood. On the basis of this calculation, the EWI calculated for the Augusta individuals (0.04-  
2 5.18  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$  of body weight) exceeded in most cases ( $\sim 63\%$ ) the PTWI of 0.7  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$  of body weight  
3 indicated by the US EPA (2004). This figure was 33% with respect to the PTWI of 1.6  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$  of  
4 body weight fixed by the FAO/WHO (2006), which represents a safe value for the human  
5 population over a lifetime. The EWI in Melilli participants (0.04-3.12  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$  of body weight)  
6 exceeded the US EPA (2004) recommendation by  $\sim 36\%$  and the FAO/WHO (2006) threshold by  
7  $\sim 4$ . As regards the EWI of Priolo subjects (0.01-2.22  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$  of body weight), the US EPA (2004)  
8 and FAO/WHO (2006) limits were exceeded in  $\sim 44$  and 4% of cases, respectively. Recently  
9 Bonsignore et al. (2013) calculated the THQ and EWI for fish collected inside and outside Augusta  
10 Bay, and demonstrated that a diet based on fish from the area could represent a serious risk to the  
11 health of local human populations ( $\text{THQ} > 1$ ). Using the values of EWI calculated in this previous  
12 study, individuals consuming exclusively fish collected from inside the bay (range of THg: 0.25-  
13 2.64  $\mu\text{g}/\text{g}$ ; EWI: 1.06-11.0  $\mu\text{g Hg kg bw}^{-1} \text{d}^{-1}$ ) (Fig. 5) should have an Hg concentration in their  
14 blood of  $\sim 28 \mu\text{g}/\text{L}$ , which is higher than the level actually found in this research. On the other hand,  
15 an individual consuming exclusively fish from outside the bay (range of THg: 0.05-0.93  $\mu\text{g}/\text{g}$ ; EWI:  
16 0.22-2.91  $\mu\text{g Hg kg bw}^{-1} \text{d}^{-1}$ ) (Fig. 5) should have an HgB value of  $\sim 6 \mu\text{g}/\text{L}$ , which is close to that  
17 found in Priolo and Melilli subjects, but lower than the HgB content measured in the Augusta  
18 participants. In conclusion, the levels of Hg in the blood and hair samples of the Augusta  
19 individuals probably derived from the consumption of fish from both inside and outside the bay,  
20 while those from Priolo and Melilli have a more limited exposure to mercury. The strong  
21 relationship between the levels of Hg measured in biological samples and the consumption of “local  
22 fish” (defined as organisms fished from the Augusta Bay) call for more appropriate social action to  
23 respect and possibly enlarge the fishing ban in the area.

24 A further comparative investigation with a control group (e.g. in mountain area) could help to  
25 improve the evaluation of the human exposure to Hg in the Augusta area.

26

1 *Acknowledgments*

2 This research is part of an Italian project funded by the “Assessorato alla Salute della Regione  
3 Sicilia”. We are grateful to Dr. Salvatore Mastroeli (ASP N°8 of Syracuse) and Dr. Fabio  
4 D’Agostino (IAMC-CNR) for analyses of samples. Many thanks also to Francesca Bulfamante  
5 (IAMC-CNR) for support in the questionnaire elaboration.

6

7 *Captions*

8 **Figure 1:** Study area showing the municipalities of Augusta, Melilli and Priolo. The black dashed square  
9 indicates the chlor-alkali plant’s location. The area’s fishing ban is also reported with the grey dashed line.

10 **Figure 2:** Box plot of HgB ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ ), HgU ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ ) and HgH (H) ( $\mu\text{g/g}$ ) for the Augusta, Melilli and Priolo  
11 samples.

12 **Figure 3:** Distribution of [Hg] in blood (a), human hair (b) and urine (c) from the Augusta, Melilli and Priolo  
13 samples according to the frequency of fish consumption. Reference values (RV), HBMI (the concentration  
14 below which there is no risk of adverse health effects), HBMI<sup>II</sup> (the concentration above which there are  
15 increased risks of adverse health effects) and other regulation thresholds are also reported. The frequencies  
16 of local fish consumption “3-4” and “>4” times a week have been included in category >3.

17 **Figure 4:** Hg content in blood and human hair in the Augusta (--), Melilli (...) and Priolo (—) samples.

18 **Figure 5:** Hg content measured in fishes from inside (a) and outside Augusta Bay (b) and relative values of  
19 estimated weekly intake (EWI) calculated by Bonsignore et al. (2013).

20

## 1 Reference

- 2
- 3 Airey, D., 1983. Mercury in human hair due to environment and diet: a review. *Environmental Health*
- 4 *Perspectives* 52, 303–316.
- 5 Alimonti, A., Bocca, B., Mattei, D., Pino, A. 2011. Programme for biomonitoring the Italian population
- 6 exposure (PROBE): internal dose of metals. Roma: Istituto Superiore di Sanità.
- 7 Angerer, J., Ewers, U., Wilhelm, M. 2007. Human biomonitoring: state of the art. *Int. J. Hyg. Environ.*
- 8 *Health.* 210, 201–228.
- 9 Apostoli, P., Cortesi, I., Mangili, A., Elia, G., Drago, I., Gagliardi, T., Soleo, L., Valente, T., Sciarra, G.F.,
- 10 Aprea, C., Ronchi, A., Minoia, C. 2002a. Assessment of reference values for mercury in urine: the
- 11 results of an Italian polycentric study. *Sci Total Environ.* 289(1-3), 13-24.
- 12 Ausili, A., Gabellini, M., Cammarata, G., Fattorini, D., Benedetti, M., Pisanelli, B., Gorbi, S., Regoli, F.,
- 13 2008. Ecotoxicological and human health risk in a petrochemical district of southern Italy. *Mar.*
- 14 *Environ. Res.* 66, 215-217.
- 15 Bagnato, E., Sproveri, M., Barra, M., Bitetto, M., Bonsignore, M., Calabrese, S., Di Stefano, V., Oliveri, E.,
- 16 Parello, F., Mazzola, S. 2013. The sea–air exchange of mercury (Hg) in the marine boundary layer of
- 17 the Augusta basin (southern Italy): Concentrations and evasion flux. *Chemosphere.* 93, 2024–2032.
- 18 Baird, C., Cann, M. 2004. Environmental chemistry. New York: W.H. Freeman.
- 19 Barregard, L., Horvat, M., Mazzolai, B., Sällsten, G., Gibicar, D., Fajon, V., Di Bona, S., Munthe, J.,
- 20 Wängberg, I., Eugensson, M. H. 2006. Urinary mercury in people living near point sources of mercury
- 21 emissions. *Science of the Total Environment.* 368 326– 334.
- 22 Bellucci, L. G., Giuliani, S., Romano, S., Albertazzi, S., Mugnai, C. and Frignani, M. 2012. An Integrated
- 23 Approach to the Assessment of Pollutant Delivery Chronologies to Impacted Areas: Hg in the Augusta
- 24 Bay (Italy). *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 46, 2040–2046.
- 25 Bianchi, F., Bianca, S., Linzalone, N., Madeddu, A., 2004. *Epidemiologia e Prevenzione.* 28, 87–93.
- 26 Bonsignore, M., Salvagio Manta, D., Oliveri E., Sprovieri, M., Basilone, G., Bonanno, A., Falco, F., Traina,
- 27 A., Mazzola S. 2013. Mercury in fishes from Augusta Bay (southern Italy): Risk assessment and
- 28 health implication. *Food and Chemical Toxicology.* 56, 184–194.
- 29 Bonsignore, M., Tamburrino, S., Oliveri, E., Marchetti, A., Durante, C., Berni, A., Quinci, E., Sprovieri, M.
- 30 2015. Tracing mercury pathways in Augusta Bay (southern Italy) by total concentration and isotope
- 31 determination. *Environmental Pollution* 205 (2015) 178-185.
- 32 Caldwell, K. L., Mortensen, M.E., Jones, R. L., Caudill, S.P., Osterloh, J.D. 2009. Total blood mercury
- 33 concentrations in the U.S. population: 1999-2006. *Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health.* 212, 588–598.
- 34 Canuel, R., De Grosbois, S.B., Atikesse, L., Lucotte, M., Arp, P., Ritchie, C., et al. 2006. New evidence on
- 35 variations of human body burden of methylmercury from fish consumption. *Environ Health*
- 36 *Perspect.* 114:302–6.
- 37 Clarkson, T.W., Magos, L., 2006. The toxicology of mercury and its chemical compounds. *Crit. Rev.*
- 38 *Toxicol.* 36, 609–662.
- 39 Colombo, F., Frignani, M., and Bellucci, L.. Origine storica della contaminazione dei sedimenti della Rada
- 40 di Augusta. (2005) October.
- 41 Crespo-Lopez, M.E., De Sa, A. L., Herculano, A. M., Burbano, R.R., Do Nascimento, J.L.M. 2007.
- 42 Methylmercury genotoxicity: a novel effect in human cell lines of the central nervous system. *Environ*
- 43 *Int.* 33, 141–6.
- 44 Crespo-Lopez, M.E., Herculano, A.M., Corvelo, T.C., Do Nascimento, J.L.M. 2005. Mercurio y
- 45 neurotoxicidad. *Rev Neurol.* 40, 441–7.
- 46 De Flora, S., Bennicelli, C., Bagnasco, M., 1994. Genotoxicity of mercury compounds: a review. *Mutat. Res.*
- 47 317, 57–79.
- 48 Environ International Team. Appendix A Sediment Investigation Activities Performed by ENVIRON
- 49 Summer and Fall (2008) Augusta Bay, Sicily, Italy.
- 50 Golding, J., Steer, C.D., Hibbeln, J.R., Emmett, P.M., Lowery, T., Jones, R. 2013. Dietary predictors of
- 51 maternal prenatal blood mercury levels in the ALSPAC birth cohort study. *Environ. Health Perspect.*
- 52 21, 1214–1218
- 53 Grandjean, P., Weihe, P., White, R.F., Debes, F., Araki, S., Yokoyama, K., Murata, K., Sorensen, N., Dahl,
- 54 R., Jorgensen, P.J., 1997. Cognitive deficit in 7-year-old children with prenatal exposure to
- 55 methylmercury. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology* 19, 417–428.

- 1 Grandjean, P., White, R.F., Nielsen, A., Cleary, D., de Oliveira Santos, E.C., 1999. Methylmercury  
2 neurotoxicity in Amazonian children: downstream from gold mining. *Environmental Health*  
3 *Perspectives* 107, 587–591.
- 4 Guzzi G., La Porta, C. A. M. 2008. Molecular mechanisms triggered by mercury. *Toxicology* 244, 1–12.
- 5 Human Biomonitoring Commission (Kommission “Human-Biomonitoring des Umweltbundesamtes), 1999.  
6 Stoffmonographie Quecksilber – referenz – und human-biomonitoring-werte (HBM), Empfehlung des  
7 Instituts für Wasser-, Boden- und Lufthygiene des Umweltbundesamtes, Kommission “Human-  
8 Biomonitoring” des Umweltbundesamtes. Bundesgesundheits. – Gesundheits- forsch. –  
9 Gesundheitsschutz, 42 (6), 511–521.
- 10 ICRAM. Istituto Centrale Per La Ricerca Scientifica E Tecnologica Applicata Al Mare, Progetto preliminare  
11 di bonifica dei fondali della rada di Augusta nel sito di interesse nazionale di Priolo – Elaborazione  
12 definitiva, BoI-Pr-SI-PR-Rada di Augusta 2008, 03.22, pp. 182.
- 13 ICRAM. Valutazione preliminare dei dati della caratterizzazione ambientale della rada di augusta – aree  
14 prioritarie ai fini della messa in sicurezza di emergenza BOI-PR-SI-GP-RADA DI AUGUSTA 2005,  
15 01.02.
- 16 JECFA, 2003. Summary and Conclusions of the 61st Meeting of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee of  
17 Food Additives (JECFA), JECFA/61/SC. Rome, Italy.
- 18 Jenssen, M.T.S., Brantsæter, A.L., Haugen, M., Meltzer, H.M., Larssen, T., Kvale, H.E., Birgisdottir, B.E.,  
19 Thomassen, Y., Ellingsen, D., Alexander, J., Knutsen, H.K. 2012. Dietary mercury exposure in a  
20 population with a wide range of fish consumption- Self-capture of fish and regional differences are  
21 important determinants of mercury in blood. *Science of the Total Environment*. 439, 220–229.
- 22 Le Donne, K., Ciafani, S. 2008. Monitoraggio dell’inquinamento atmosferico da mercurio nei principali  
23 impianti cloro-soda italiani. *Ing. Ambientale*. 37, 45– 52.
- 24 Madeddu, A., Bianchi, F., Comba, P., Gilli, G., Sciacca S. 2004. Monitoraggio di Hg, HCB e PCBs in latte e  
25 capelli di un campione di madri di Augusta. Documento inedito agli atti della Procura della  
26 Repubblica di Siracusa.
- 27 Madeddu, A., Contrino, L., Tisano, F., Sciacca, S. 2001. La salute di Aretusa e i padroni del tempo. Atlante  
28 della mortalità per tumori e per le patologie cronico degenerative in provincia di Siracusa nel  
29 quinquennio 1995-1999. Siracusa: *Arti Grafiche Fratantonio*.
- 30 Madeddu, A., Contrino, L., Tisano, F., Sciacca, S. 2003. La peste, gli untori e l’immaginario. Atlante della  
31 mortalità per tumori e per le patologie cronico degenerative in provincia di Siracusa dal 1995. II  
32 volume. Aggiornamento triennio 2000-2002. Siracusa: *Arti Grafiche Fratantonio*.
- 33 Magos, L., Clarkson, T.W. 2008. The assessment of the contribution of hair to methyl mercury excretion.  
34 *Toxicol. Lett.*; 182:48–49.
- 35 Matsubara, J., Machida, K., 1985. Significance of elemental analysis of hair as a means of detecting  
36 environmental pollution. *Environmental Research*. 38, 225–238.
- 37 Mergler, D., Anderson, H.A., Chan, L.H., Mahaffey, K.R., Murray, M., Sakamoto, M., et al. 2007.  
38 Methylmercury exposure and health effects in humans: a worldwide concern. *Ambio*. 36, 3-11.
- 39 Miklavčič, A., Casetta, A., Tratnik, J.S., Mazej D., Kršnik, M., Mariuz, M., Sofianou K., Špirić, Z., Barbone  
40 F., Horvat M. 2013. Mercury, arsenic and selenium exposure levels in relation to fish consumption in  
41 the Mediterranean area. *Environmental Research* 120, 7–17
- 42 Minoia, C., Sabbioni, E., Apostoli, P., Pietra, R., Pozzoli, L., Gallorini, M., Nicolaou, G., Alessio, L., Capodaglio,  
43 E. 1990. Trace element reference values in tissues from inhabitants of the European Community. I. A  
44 study of elements in urine, blood and serum of Italian subjects. *Sci Total Environ*. 95, 89-105.
- 45 Orecchio, S., Polizzotto, G., 2013. Fractionation of mercury in sediments during draining of Augusta (Italy)  
46 coastal area by modified Tessier method. *Microchemical Journal* 110, 452–457.
- 47 Pacyna, E.G., Pacyna, J.M., Pirrone, N. 2001. European emissions of atmospheric mercury from  
48 anthropogenic sources in 1995. *Atmos. Environ*. 35, 2987– 96.
- 49 Passos, C.J., Mergler, D., Gaspar, E., Morais, S., Lucotte, M., Larribe, F. et al. 2003. Eating tropical fruit  
50 reduces mercury exposure from fish consumption in the Brazilian Amazon. *Environ Res*. 93, 123–130.
- 51 Phelps, R.W., Clarkson, T.W., Kershaw, T.G., Wheatley, B. 1980. Interrelationships of blood and hair  
52 mercury concentrations in a north-American population exposed to methylmercury. *Arch Environ*  
53 *Health*. 35, 161–168.
- 54 Pinheiro, M.C.N., Crespo-Lopez, M.E., Vieira, J.L.F., Oikawa, T., Guimaraes, G.A., Araujo, C.C., et al.  
55 2007. Mercury pollution and childhood in Amazon riverside villages. *Environ Int*. 33, 56–61.

- 1 Pinheiro, M.C.N., Oikawa, T., Vieira, J.L., Gomes, M.S., Guimaraes, G.A., Crespo-Lopez, M.E., et al. 2006.  
2 Comparative study of human exposure to mercury in riverside communities in the Amazon region.  
3 *Braz J Med Biol Res.* 39, 411–4.
- 4 Sanfeliu, C., Sebastia, J., Cristofol, R., Rodriguez-Farre, E. 2003. Neurotoxicity of organomercurial  
5 compounds. *Neurotox Res.* 5, 283–305.
- 6 Schulz, C., Conrad, A., Becker, K., Kolossa-Gehring, M., Seiwert, M., Seifert, B., 2007. Twenty years of the  
7 German Environmental Survey (GerES): Human biomonitoring— temporal and spatial (West  
8 Germany/East Germany) differences in population exposure. *Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health.* 210 (3–4),  
9 271–297.
- 10 Shao D., Kang Y., Cheng Z., Wanga H., Huang M., Wu S., Chen K., Wonga M. H., 2013. Hair mercury  
11 levels and food consumption in residents from the Pearl River Delta: South China. *Food Chemistry.*  
12 136, 682–688.
- 13 Shrestha, K.P., Fornerino, I., 1982. Hair mercury content among residents of Cumana, Venezuela. *Sci. Total*  
14 *Environ.* 63, 77–81.
- 15 Soleo, L., Elia, G., Russo, A., Schiavulli, N., Lasorsa, G., Mangili, A., Gilberti, E., Ronchi, A., Balducci, C.,  
16 Minoia, C., Aprea, C., Sciarra, G.F., Valente, T., Fenga, C. 2003. Valori di riferimento del mercurio  
17 urinario nella popolazione italiana. *G Ital Med Lav Erg.* 25(1), 107-13.
- 18 Sprovieri, M., Oliveri, E., Di Leonardo, R., Romano, E., Ausili, A., Gabellini, M., Barra, M., Tranchida, G.,  
19 Bellanca, A., Neri, R., Budillon, F., Saggiomo, R., Mazzola, S., Saggiomo, V. 2011. The key role  
20 played by the Augusta basin (southern Italy) in the mercury contamination of the Mediterranean sea. *J.*  
21 *Environ. Monit.* 13, 1753.
- 22 Tomasello, B., Copat, C., Pulvirenti, V., Ferrito, V., Ferrante, M., Renis, M., Sciacca, S., Tigano, C., 2012.  
23 Biochemical and bioaccumulation approaches for investigating marine pollution using Mediterranean  
24 rainbow wrasse, *Coris julis* (Linnaeus 1798). *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Safe.* 86, 168-175.
- 25 US EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). Method 7473. “Mercury in solids and solutions by thermal 420  
26 decomposition, amalgamation, and atomic absorption spectrophotometry” (2007).
- 27 US EPA, 2001. Water Quality Criterion for the Protection of Human Health: Methylmercury.  
28 <http://www.epa.gov/waterscience/criteria/methylmercury/document.html> (accessed February 2011).
- 29 US EPA, 2004. Origin of the 1 meal/week noncommercial fish consumption rate in national advisory for  
30 mercury. Office of Water, National Fish and Wildlife Contamination Program <<http://cfpub.epa.gov>>  
31 (accessed 10.12.12).
- 32 US EPA, 2010. Guidance for Implementing the January 2001 Methylmercury Water Quality Criterion. EPA  
33 823-R-10-001. US Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, Washington, DC. National  
34 Research Council (NRC), 2000. Committee on the Toxicological Effects of Methyl Mercury. National  
35 Academies Press, Washington, DC.
- 36 US EPA. 2001. Integrated risk information system. Methylmercury (MeHg) (CASRN 22967-92-6). U.S.  
37 Environmental Protection Agency; 2001. Available online at: <http://www.epa.gov/iris/subst/0073.htm>.  
38 (accessed May 2015)
- 39 Wennberg, M., Lundh, T., Bergdahl, I.A., Hallmans, G., Jansson, J.-H., Stegmayr, B., et al., 2006. Time  
40 trends in burdens of cadmium, lead, and mercury in the population of northern Sweden. *Environ. Res.*  
41 100, 330–338.
- 42 WHO (World Health Organization) (1990). International programme on chemical safety. Environmental  
43 health criteria 101: methylmercury.
- 44 WHO (World Health Organization) (1991). Inorganic Mercury, Environmental Health Criteria 118, WHO,  
45 Geneva.
- 46 WHO (World Health Organization) (2003). Expert committee on food additives Sixty-first meeting Rome,  
47 10-19 June 2003.
- 48 WHO (World Health Organization), 2006. Summary and Conclusions of the Sixty Seventh Meeting of the  
49 Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives, Rome, 20–29 June 2006. World Health  
50 Organization, Geneva <[http:// apps.who.int](http://apps.who.int)> (accessed 10.12.12).
- 51 Wilhelm, M., Ewers, U., Schulz, C., 2004. Revised and new reference values for some trace elements in  
52 blood and urine for human biomonitoring in environmental medicine. *Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health*  
53 207, 69–73.

54