

# Some error bounds for Gauss–Jacobi quadrature rules

Woula Themistoclakis\*

*C.N.R. National Research Council of Italy,  
Istituto per le Applicazioni del Calcolo “Mauro Picone”,  
via P. Castellino, 111, 80131 Napoli, Italy.*

---

## Abstract

We estimate the error of Gauss–Jacobi quadrature rule applied to a function  $f$ , which is supposed locally absolutely continuous in some Besov type spaces, or of bounded variation on  $[-1, 1]$ . In the first case the error bound concerns the weighted main part  $\varphi$ -modulus of smoothness of  $f$  introduced by Z. Ditzian and V. Totik, while in the second case we deal with a Stieltjes integral with respect to  $f$ . The stated estimates generalize several error bounds from literature and, in both the cases, they assure the same convergence rate of the error of best polynomial approximation in weighted  $L^1$  space.

*Keywords:* Gauss–Jacobi quadrature, error estimate, weighted– $L^1$  polynomial approximation, Besov spaces, weighted  $\varphi$ -modulus of smoothness, bounded variation, de la Vallée Poussin means.

*2010 MSC:* 65D30, 41A55, 41A10, 41A25, 33C45

---

## 1. Introduction

Gauss–Jacobi quadrature rules have been extensively studied in literature (see e.g. [1, 4, 10, 14] and the references therein). For a given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , they provide the following approximation

$$\int_{-1}^1 f(x)u(x)dx \approx \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k f(x_k),$$

- 5 where  $u(x) = v^{\alpha,\beta}(x) := (1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta$ ,  $\alpha, \beta > -1$ , is a given Jacobi weight,  $p_n(u, x)$  is the associated orthonormal Jacobi polynomial of degree  $n$  and positive leading coefficient,

$$\lambda_k := \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} p_j(u, x_k)^2 \right]^{-1}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n,$$

---

\*Corresponding author

*Email address:* `woula.themistoclakis@cnr.it` (Woula Themistoclakis)

are the well-known Christoffel numbers, and  $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n$  are the zeros of  $p_n(u, x)$ . We assume that  $f \in L_u^1 := \{f : \|fu\|_1 := \int_{-1}^1 |f(x)|u(x)dx < \infty\}$  is defined at these nodes and locally bounded in  $[-1, 1]$  (i.e. bounded in each  $[a, b] \subseteq (-1, 1)$ ).

Set

$$R_n(f)_u := \left| \int_{-1}^1 f(x)u(x)dx - \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k f(x_k) \right|,$$

and denoted by  $\mathbb{P}_n$  the set of all polynomials of degree at most  $n$ , it is well known that

$$R_n(f)_u = 0, \quad \forall f \in \mathbb{P}_{2n-1}, \quad (1)$$

while, in the general case,  $R_n(f)_u \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and the rate of convergence depends on the smoothness properties of  $f$ . Regarding this, various error estimates have been proved by several authors under different smoothness assumptions of the integrand function (see e.g. [5, 6, 9, 12, 15, 16, 17, 18, 25, 31]).

In particular, for the non-weighted case (i.e.  $u(x) = 1$ ), De Vore and Scott [5] proved that if for some integer  $s \leq 2n$  we have  $\|f^{(s)}\varphi^s\|_1 < \infty$ , being here and in the following  $\varphi(x) := \sqrt{1-x^2}$ , then we have

$$R_n(f) \leq \frac{C_s}{n^s} \|f^{(s)}\varphi^s\|_1, \quad (2)$$

where  $R_n(f)$  denotes the quadrature error when  $u = 1$  and  $C_s$  is a positive constant depending on  $s$ , but independent of  $f$  and  $n$ .

Later on, Ditzian and Totik [7, Section 7.4] combined their results with (2) and stated an error bound based on special moduli of smoothness rather than on the derivatives of  $f$ . More precisely, they proved that

$$R_n(f) \leq \frac{M_r}{n} \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{\omega_\varphi^r(f, t)}{t^2} dt, \quad n > r, \quad (3)$$

holds for all  $f \in L^1$ , where  $M_r > 0$  is independent of  $n$  and  $f$ , and

$$\omega_\varphi^r(f, t) := \sup_{0 < h \leq t} \|\Delta_{h\varphi}^r f\|_1,$$

being  $\Delta_{h\varphi}^r f$  the central  $r$ th difference of  $f$  of variable step size  $h\varphi(x)$ .

Inspired by Ditzian–Totik results, in Section 2 (Theorem 1) we state the following estimate

$$R_n(f)_u \leq \frac{\mathcal{C}}{n} \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{\Omega_\varphi^r(f, t)_u}{t^2} dt, \quad n > r, \quad \mathcal{C} \neq \mathcal{C}(n, f), \quad (4)$$

where [7, eq.(8.1.2)]

$$\Omega_\varphi^r(f, t)_u := \sup_{0 < h \leq t} \|(\Delta_{h\varphi}^r f)u\|_{L^1[-1+2r^2h^2, 1-2r^2h^2]},$$

throughout the paper  $\mathcal{C}$  denotes a positive constant which can take different values in different formulas, and  $\mathcal{C} \neq \mathcal{C}(n, f)$  means that  $\mathcal{C}$  does not depend on  $n$  and  $f$ .

35 Comparing with (3), we observe that (4) concerns the more general weighted case and, instead of the complete modulus  $\omega_\varphi^r$ , it regards the so-called main part modulus  $\Omega_\varphi^r$ . We recall that, in the weighted case,  $\omega_\varphi^r(f, t)_u$  is defined only for Jacobi weights  $u = v^{\alpha, \beta}$  with  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$  (see [7, Remark 6.1.2]), while in (4)  $\Omega_\varphi^r(f, t)_u$  results defined without any restriction on  $u \in L^1$  and it is often easier  
40 to compute, since it does not take into account of the function values close to the extremes  $\pm 1$  (see [7, Section 8.5] for some examples and calculations).

Moreover, the complete and main part moduli are related by [7, Theorem 6.2.2]

$$\mathcal{C}^{-1} \Omega_\varphi^r(f, t)_u \leq \omega_\varphi^r(f, t)_u \leq \mathcal{C} \int_0^t \frac{\Omega_\varphi^r(f, \tau)_u}{\tau} d\tau, \quad \mathcal{C} \neq \mathcal{C}(f, t),$$

and they both well characterize the rate of convergence to zero of the error of  
45 best polynomial approximation

$$E_n(f)_u := \inf_{P \in \mathbb{P}_n} \|(f - P)u\|_1, \quad (5)$$

in terms of the smoothness of  $f$ . In particular, we have [7, Corollary 8.2.2]

$$E_n(f)_u = \mathcal{O}(n^{-a}) \iff \Omega_\varphi^r(f, t)_u = \mathcal{O}(t^a), \quad r > a > 0. \quad (6)$$

Hence, from (4) we deduce that if  $E_n(f)_u = \mathcal{O}(n^{-a})$  holds for any  $a > 1$ , then  $R_n(f)_u = \mathcal{O}(n^{-a})$  holds too.

Indeed this result was already known in Sobolev spaces

$$W_u^s = \{f \in L_u^1 : \|f^{(s)} \varphi^s u\|_1 < \infty\}, \quad s \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (7)$$

50 where  $E_n(f)_u = \mathcal{O}(n^{-s})$  holds [23] and  $R_n(f)_u = \mathcal{O}(n^{-s})$  follows from the weighted version of (2) (namely (16), which we deduced from (4), but it was already proved [19, Th. 5.1.8]).

The novelty introduced by (4) mainly concerns functions in the intermediate Besov spaces defined by

$$B_u^s := \left\{ f \in L_u^1 : \int_0^1 \frac{\Omega_\varphi^r(f, t)_u}{t^{s+1}} dt < \infty \right\}, \quad r > s \geq 1. \quad (8)$$

55 These spaces were introduced in [8] for all  $s > 0$ , but we take  $s \geq 1$  since this assures the convergence of the integral on the right-hand side in (4). We recall that [8] Besov spaces have the peculiarity to be characterized by the best polynomial approximation in  $L_u^1$ . Similarly to Sobolev spaces, for all  $f \in B_u^s$  we have [7]  $E_n(f)_u = \mathcal{O}(n^{-s})$ , but Besov spaces are defined also for non-integer values of  $s > 1$  and they satisfy  $W_u^{[s]+1} \subseteq B_u^s \subseteq W_u^{[s]}$ , where, as usual,  $[s]$   
60 denotes the integer part of  $s$ .

Then for all functions  $f \in B_u^s$  with  $s > 1$ , which are not sufficiently smooth to belong to  $W_u^{[s]+1}$ , we remark that the new bound (4) yields  $R_n(f)_u = \mathcal{O}(n^{-s}) = E_n(f)_u$ , while using the already known estimates in Sobolev spaces, we are able  
65 only to get  $R_n(f)_n = \mathcal{O}(n^{-[s]})$ .

Nevertheless, when  $\Omega_\varphi^r(f, t)_u \sim t^a$  holds for some  $0 < a \leq 1$ , we have that  $f \in B_u^s$  for any  $0 < s < a$  but  $f \notin B_u^1$  and both the estimates (3) and (4) are not significant because the integrals on their right-hand side do not converge. Indeed, the convergence of these integrals implies that  $f$  is  $L^1$  equivalent to a  
70 locally absolutely continuous function [7, Theorem 6.3.1], and we are currently working on getting an estimate of the following type

$$R_n(f)_u \leq \mathcal{C} \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{\omega_\varphi^r(f, t)_u}{t} dt, \quad n > r, \quad \mathcal{C} \neq \mathcal{C}(n, f), \quad (9)$$

which should assure, even when  $0 < a \leq 1$ , that  $E_n(f)_u = \mathcal{O}(n^{-a})$  implies  $R_n(f)_u = \mathcal{O}(n^{-a})$ .

For the time being, (9) remains an open problem and a partial result is  
75 given in Section 3, where we examine the case that  $f$  is of bounded variation on  $[-1, 1]$ . In this case  $\Omega_\varphi^r(f, t)_u \sim t$  holds and we state that  $R_n(f)_u = \mathcal{O}(n^{-1})$  by means of an estimate based on the Stieltjes integral w.r.t.  $f$  (cf. (17)). This result extends to the weighted case a previous bound stated in [12]. It has been proved by using certain delayed means of the Fourier projections (de la Vallée  
80 Poussin means), which approximation properties will be briefly recalled.

## 2. The case of locally absolutely continuous functions

Let  $f$  be a locally absolutely continuous function in  $[-1, 1]$  (i.e. absolutely continuous in each  $[a, b] \subseteq (-1, 1)$ ). We suppose  $f$  can be also unbounded at the extremes  $\pm 1$ , but such that  $\|fu\|_1 < \infty$ . We aim to state a general error  
85 bound for  $R_n(f)_u$ , which yields  $R_n(f)_u = \mathcal{O}(n^{-a})$  under the assumption that  $E_n(f)_u = \mathcal{O}(n^{-a})$  holds for some  $a > 1$ , being  $E_n(f)_u$  defined by (5).

To this aim we use Ditzian–Totik results in [7], which allow us to measure the rate of convergence of  $E_n(f)_u$  by the main part  $\varphi$ -modulus of smoothness of  $f$ , defined as follows [7, eq. (8.1.2)]

$$\Omega_\varphi^r(f, t)_u := \sup_{0 < h \leq t} \|(\Delta_{h\varphi}^r f)_u\|_{L^1(I_{r,h})}, \quad I_{r,h} := [-1 + 2r^2h^2, 1 - 2r^2h^2],$$

90 where  $\|g\|_{L^1[a,b]} := \int_a^b |g(x)| dx$ , and  $\Delta_{h\varphi}^r f$  denotes the central  $r$ th difference of  $f$  of variable step size  $h\varphi(x) = h\sqrt{1-x^2}$ , i.e.

$$\Delta_{h\varphi}^r f(x) := \sum_{k=0}^r (-1)^k \binom{r}{k} f\left(x + \left(\frac{r}{2} - k\right) h\varphi(x)\right).$$

In [7, eq. (8.2.4)] it is stated that there exists a sequence of approximating polynomials for  $f$ , namely  $P_n^* \in \mathbb{P}_n$ , such that

$$\|(f - P_n^*)_u\|_{L^1[-1+cn^{-2}, 1-cn^{-2}]} \leq \mathcal{C} \Omega_\varphi^r\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)_u, \quad r < n, \quad (10)$$

holds, where the constant  $\mathcal{C} > 0$  depends on  $c > 0$ , but  $\mathcal{C} \neq \mathcal{C}(n, f)$ .

95 Moreover (cf. [7, pp. 94-95]) on the whole interval  $[-1, 1]$  the previous polynomials  $P_n^*$  satisfy

$$\|(f - P_n^*)u\|_1 \leq \mathcal{C} \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{\Omega_\varphi^r(f, t)_u}{t} dt, \quad \mathcal{C} \neq \mathcal{C}(n, f), \quad (11)$$

and we also have

$$f(x_k) - P_n^*(x_k) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} [P_{2^{j+1}n}^*(x_k) - P_{2^jn}^*(x_k)], \quad k = 1, \dots, n. \quad (12)$$

We remark that the local absolute continuity of  $f$  assures that both the right-hand sides in (11) and (12) converge and that (12) is satisfied at any system of 100 Jacobi zeros  $x_k$  (in the general case it holds a.e. in  $[-1, 1]$ ).

By means of the previous results, we state the following

**Theorem 1.** *For all Jacobi weights  $u \in L^1$ , each locally absolutely continuous function  $f \in L_u^1$  and any pair of integers  $n > r$ , we have*

$$R_n(f)_u \leq \frac{\mathcal{C}}{n} \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{\Omega_\varphi^r(f, t)_u}{t^2} dt, \quad \mathcal{C} \neq \mathcal{C}(n, f). \quad (13)$$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* We consider the non-trivial case that the integral on the 105 right hand side in (13) converges. Then, by the previous discussion, there exists a polynomial  $P_n^* \in \mathbb{P}_n$  satisfying (10)–(12). Hence, by (1) and (11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} R_n(f)_u &= R_n(f - P_n^*)_u \leq \|(f - P_n^*)u\|_1 + \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k |(f - P_n^*)(x_k)| \\ &\leq \mathcal{C} \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{\Omega_\varphi^r(f, t)_u}{t} dt + \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \left| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (P_{2^{j+1}n}^* - P_{2^jn}^*)(x_k) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\mathcal{C}}{n} \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{\Omega_\varphi^r(f, t)_u}{t^2} dt + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k |(P_{2^{j+1}n}^* - P_{2^jn}^*)(x_k)|, \end{aligned}$$

and in order to complete the proof, we are going to prove that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k |(P_{2^{j+1}n}^* - P_{2^jn}^*)(x_k)| \leq \frac{\mathcal{C}}{n} \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{\Omega_\varphi^r(f, t)_u}{t^2} dt.$$

To this aim we apply the following Marcinkiewicz type inequality (see e.g. [20, Theorem 2.6])

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k |(P_{ln}(x_k))| \leq \mathcal{C}l \|P_{ln}u\|_1, \quad \forall P_{ln} \in \mathbb{P}_{ln}, \quad \mathcal{C} \neq \mathcal{C}(l, n, P), \quad (14)$$

110 and Remez type inequality (see e.g. [7, p. 91, (B)])

$$\|P_n u\|_1 \leq C \|P_n u\|_{L^1[-1+\frac{c}{n^2}, 1-\frac{c}{n^2}]}, \quad \forall P_n \in \mathbb{P}_n, \quad C \neq C(n, P), \quad (15)$$

in order to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k |(P_{2^{j+1}n}^* - P_{2^j n}^*)(x_k)| \leq C \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^j \|(P_{2^{j+1}n}^* - P_{2^j n}^*)u\|_1 \\ & \leq C \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^j \|(P_{2^{j+1}n}^* - P_{2^j n}^*)u\|_{L^1[-1+C(2^{j+1}n)^{-2}, 1-C(2^{j+1}n)^{-2}]}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by using (10) and the following properties [7]

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad & \Omega_\varphi^r(f, 2t)_u \leq C 2^r \Omega_\varphi^r(f, t)_u, \\ (ii) \quad & t_1 \leq t_2 \implies \Omega_\varphi^r(f, t_1)_u \leq \Omega_\varphi^r(f, t_2)_u, \end{aligned}$$

we conclude the previous estimate as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k |(P_{2^{j+1}n}^* - P_{2^j n}^*)(x_k)| \\ & \leq C \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^j \left[ \Omega_\varphi^r \left( f, \frac{1}{2^{j+1}n} \right)_{u,1} + \Omega_\varphi^r \left( f, \frac{1}{2^j n} \right)_u \right] \\ & \leq C \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^j \Omega_\varphi^r \left( f, \frac{1}{2^{j+1}n} \right)_u \\ & \leq \frac{C}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2^j n)^2 \Omega_\varphi^r \left( f, \frac{1}{2^{j+1}n} \right)_u \left[ \int_{\frac{1}{2^{j+1}n}}^{\frac{1}{2^j n}} dt \right] \\ & \leq \frac{C}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{\frac{1}{2^{j+1}n}}^{\frac{1}{2^j n}} \frac{\Omega_\varphi^r(f, t)_u}{t^2} dt = \frac{C}{n} \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{\Omega_\varphi^r(f, t)_u}{t^2} dt. \end{aligned}$$

□

115 We observe that, if for some integer  $s > 1$  the function  $f$  is such that  $f^{(s-1)}$  is locally absolutely continuous on  $[-1, 1]$  and  $\|f^{(s)} \varphi^s u\|_1 < \infty$ , namely  $f \in W_u^s$  (cf. (7)), then we have [7, Lemma 8.1.2]

$$\Omega_\varphi^s(f, t)_u \leq C t^s \|f^{(s)} \varphi^s u\|_1, \quad C \neq C(n, f),$$

and Theorem 1 implies the already known estimate

$$R_n(f)_u \leq \frac{C}{n^s} \|f^{(s)} \varphi^s u\|_1, \quad C \neq C(n, f), \quad \forall f \in W_u^s, \quad (16)$$

120 which has been proved in [19, Th. 5.1.8] for  $s = 1$  too. Nevertheless, for some specific functions in Sobolev spaces, the new estimate in Theorem 1 improves the existing bounds, as shown in the next example.

**Example 1.** Take  $f(x) = \log(1 - x^2)$  and  $u(x) = (1 - x^2)^{-\frac{1}{3}}$ . In this case  $f \in W_u^1 - W_u^2$  and we have  $\Omega_\varphi^r(f, t)_u \sim t^{\frac{4}{3}}$  (see [7, p. 110]). Hence (13) implies that

$$R_n(f)_u = \mathcal{O}(n^{-\frac{4}{3}}).$$

125 Note that this result cannot be deduced from the known estimates in Sobolev spaces (where (16) yields only  $R_n(f)_u = \mathcal{O}(n^{-1})$ ), neither other existing error bounds with the weighted uniform norm are applicable, being  $\|fu\|_\infty = \infty$ .

More generally, we can say that Theorem 1 provides significative results in Besov type subspaces of  $L_u^1$  defined by (8). For all  $s > 1$ , the functions  $f \in B_u^s$  are so smooth to satisfy  $\Omega_\varphi^r(f, t)_u = \mathcal{O}(t^s) = E_n(f)_u$ , hence the integral on the right-hand side of (13) converges, and Theorem 1 yields  $R_n(f)_u = \mathcal{O}(n^{-s})$ .

In conclusion, the next corollary follows from Theorem 1 by virtue of (6).

**Corollary 1.** If  $f \in L_u^1$  is such that  $E_n(f)_u = \mathcal{O}(n^{-s})$  holds for some  $s > 1$ , then we have  $R_n(f)_u = \mathcal{O}(n^{-s})$ .

### 135 3. The case of functions of bounded variation

Throughout this section we suppose that  $f \in L_u^1$  is a function of bounded variation and, as usual, we assume that

$$f(x_k) = \frac{f(x_k)^+ + f(x_k)^-}{2}, \quad f(x_k)^\pm := \lim_{x \rightarrow x_k^\pm} f(x).$$

For such a function it is well-known that  $\Omega_\varphi^r(f, t)_u \sim t$  holds, hence (13) is trivial being the right-hand side equal to infinity. By the next theorem, we state 140 a different estimate, which assures, for all functions  $f$  of bounded variation, that the Gaussian quadrature error tends to zero with the same convergence rate of the error of best polynomial approximation in  $L_u^1$ , namely  $R_n(f)_u = \mathcal{O}(n^{-1})$ . In the special case  $u(x) = 1$  a similar result has been proved in [12].

**Theorem 2.** For all functions  $f$  of bounded variation on  $[-1, 1]$  and any  $u \in L^1$ , 145 we have

$$R_n(f)_u \leq \frac{\mathcal{C}}{n} \int_{-1}^1 u(t) \varphi(t) |df(t)|, \quad \mathcal{C} \neq \mathcal{C}(n, f). \quad (17)$$

We are going to prove this theorem by means of some de la Vallée Poussin means of  $f$ , which are defined as follows

$$\mathcal{V}_n f(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=n}^{2n-1} S_k f(x),$$

where  $S_k f$  denotes the  $k$ -th Fourier–Jacobi partial sum of  $f$  associated with a suitable weight  $w = v^{\gamma, \delta}$ . In the sequel we briefly recall the main properties 150 of  $\mathcal{V}_n f$  we are going to use. For more details on de la Vallée Poussin type approximation, we refer the reader to [2, 3, 11, 21, 22, 23, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30].

Obviously,  $\mathcal{V}_n f \in \mathbb{P}_{2n-1}$  for all  $f$ , and the following invariance on polynomials holds

$$\mathcal{V}_n P = P, \quad \forall P \in \mathbb{P}_n. \quad (18)$$

Moreover, denoted by  $K_n(w, x, y) := \sum_{j=0}^n p_j(w, x)p_j(w, y)$  the Darboux kernel of degree  $n$  corresponding to  $w$ , de la Vallée Poussin means of  $f$  associated with  $w$  can be explicitly written as follows

$$\mathcal{V}_n f(x) = \int_{-1}^1 v_n(w, x, y) f(y) w(y) dy, \quad (19)$$

where  $v_n(w, x, y) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{r=n}^{2n-1} K_r(w, x, y)$ .

Finally, by using a pointwise estimate of the previous de la Vallée Poussin kernel (see e.g. [30, Lemma 5.1]), the next theorem has been proved in [23, Th. 4.2].

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $w = v^{\gamma, \delta}$  and  $u = v^{\alpha, \beta}$  be such that  $-1 < \alpha < \gamma$ ,  $-1 < \beta < \delta$ , and suppose they satisfy for some  $\nu \in [0, 1/2]$  the following conditions*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\gamma}{2} - \frac{3}{4} - \nu < \alpha < \frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{1}{4} - \nu, \\ \frac{\delta}{2} - \frac{3}{4} - \nu < \beta < \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{1}{4} - \nu. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Then for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and any  $f \in L_u^1$ , de la Vallée Poussin means associated with the weight  $w$  satisfy

$$\|(\mathcal{V}_n f - f)u\|_1 \leq \mathcal{C} E_n(f)_u, \quad \mathcal{C} \neq \mathcal{C}(n, f). \quad (21)$$

By taking into account the previous results, Theorem 2 can be proved as follows.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Supposed that  $u = v^{\alpha, \beta} \in L^1$  is arbitrarily fixed, let us take a weight  $w = v^{\gamma, \delta}$  satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 3, and let  $\mathcal{V}_n f$  be the associated de la Vallée Poussin mean satisfying (21). By (1) we get

$$R_n(f)_u = R_n(f - \mathcal{V}_n f)_u \leq \|(f - \mathcal{V}_n f)u\|_1 + \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k |f(x_k) - \mathcal{V}_n f(x_k)|. \quad (22)$$

On the other hand, set

$$\Gamma_t(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x > t, \\ 0 & x \leq t, \end{cases} \quad x, t \in [-1, 1],$$

we observe that

$$f(x) = f(-1) + \int_{-1}^1 \Gamma_t(x) df(t), \quad x \in [-1, 1], \quad (23)$$

and, by applying (18) with  $P(x) = f(-1)$ , the identities (23) and (19) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) - \mathcal{V}_n f(x) &= [f(x) - f(-1)] - \mathcal{V}_n [f - f(-1)](x) \\ &= \int_{-1}^1 \Gamma_t(x) df(t) - \int_{-1}^1 \mathcal{V}_n \Gamma_t(x) df(t) \end{aligned}$$

holds for all  $x \in [-1, 1]$ . Consequently, from (22) we deduce

$$R_n(f)_u \leq \int_{-1}^1 \|(\Gamma_t - \mathcal{V}_n \Gamma_t)u\|_1 |df(t)| + \int_{-1}^1 \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k |\Gamma_t(x_k) - \mathcal{V}_n \Gamma_t(x_k)| |df(t)|, \quad (24)$$

and in order to get the statement, in the sequel we are going to estimate the integrands in (24) for all  $t \in [-1, 1]$ .

Let us first assume that  $t \in [x_1, x_n]$ . In this case we consider the so-called Markov–Stieltjes polynomials,  $P_t^\pm \in \mathbb{P}_n$ , which satisfy (see [13, p.72] and [24, Theorem 6.3.28])

$$\begin{aligned} P_t^-(x) &\leq \Gamma_t(x) \leq P_t^+(x), & \forall x, t \in [-1, 1], & \quad (25) \\ \|(P_t^+ - P_t^-)u\|_1 &= \lambda_n(u, t) \leq \frac{\mathcal{C}}{n} u(t)\varphi(t), & \forall |t| \leq 1 - \frac{\mathcal{C}}{n^2}, & \quad (26) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\lambda_n(u, t) := \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} p_j(u, t)^2 \right]^{-1}$  and  $\mathcal{C} \neq \mathcal{C}(n, t)$ .

By (21), (25) and (26), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\Gamma_t - \mathcal{V}_n \Gamma_t)u\|_1 &\leq \mathcal{C} E_n(\Gamma_t)_u \leq \mathcal{C} \|(\Gamma_t - P_t^-)u\|_1 \leq \mathcal{C} \|(P_t^+ - P_t^-)u\|_1 \\ &\leq \frac{\mathcal{C}}{n} u(t)\varphi(t). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by using (18), (25), (14), (21) and (26), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k |\Gamma_t(x_k) - \mathcal{V}_n \Gamma_t(x_k)| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k |\Gamma_t(x_k) - P_t^-(x_k)| + \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k |\mathcal{V}_n(\Gamma_t - P_t^-)(x_k)| \\ &\leq \mathcal{C} \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k [P_t^+(x_k) - P_t^-(x_k)] + \mathcal{C} \|\mathcal{V}_n(\Gamma_t - P_t^-)u\|_1 \\ &\leq \mathcal{C} \|(P_t^+ - P_t^-)u\|_1 + \mathcal{C} \|(\Gamma_t - P_t^-)u\|_1 \\ &\leq \mathcal{C} \|(P_t^+ - P_t^-)u\|_1 \leq \frac{\mathcal{C}}{n} u(t)\varphi(t). \end{aligned}$$

Now, let us assume  $t \in (x_n, 1]$ . Note that, by (21) we have

$$\|(\Gamma_t - \mathcal{V}_n \Gamma_t)u\|_1 \leq \mathcal{C} E_n(\Gamma_t)_u \leq \mathcal{C} \|\Gamma_t u\|_1 = \mathcal{C} \int_t^1 u(x) dx,$$

and taking into account that  $1 \leq (1+t) \leq 2$  and  $\sqrt{1-t} \leq \sqrt{1-x_n} \leq \mathcal{C}n^{-1}$ , by easy computations we get

$$\|(\Gamma_t - \mathcal{V}_n \Gamma_t)u\|_1 \leq \mathcal{C} \int_t^1 u(x) dx \leq \frac{\mathcal{C}}{n} u(t)\varphi(t).$$

185 Similarly, by (14) and (21) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k |\Gamma_t(x_k) - \mathcal{V}_n \Gamma_t(x_k)| &= \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k |\mathcal{V}_n \Gamma_t(x_k)| \leq \mathcal{C} \|(\mathcal{V}_n \Gamma_t)u\|_1 \leq \mathcal{C} \|\Gamma_t u\|_1 \\ &\leq \frac{\mathcal{C}}{n} u(t) \varphi(t). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, consider the symmetric case  $t \in [-1, x_1]$ . By using (21), and taking into account that  $1 \leq (1-t) \leq 2$  and  $\sqrt{1+t} \leq \sqrt{1+x_1} \leq \mathcal{C}n^{-1}$ , we deduce

$$\|(\Gamma_t - \mathcal{V}_n \Gamma_t)u\|_1 \leq \mathcal{C} E_n(\Gamma_t)u \leq \mathcal{C} \|(\Gamma_t - 1)u\|_1 = \mathcal{C} \int_{-1}^t u(x) dx \leq \frac{\mathcal{C}}{n} u(t) \varphi(t),$$

as well as, by (18), (14) and (21) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k |\Gamma_t(x_k) - \mathcal{V}_n \Gamma_t(x_k)| &= \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k |\mathcal{V}_n(1 - \Gamma_t)(x_k)| \leq \mathcal{C} \|\mathcal{V}_n(1 - \Gamma_t)u\|_1 \\ &\leq \mathcal{C} \|(1 - \Gamma_t)u\|_1 \leq \frac{\mathcal{C}}{n} u(t) \varphi(t). \end{aligned}$$

Summing up, for all  $t \in [-1, 1]$  we proved that there exists a constant  $\mathcal{C} \neq \mathcal{C}(n, t)$  such that

$$\|(\Gamma_t - \mathcal{V}_n \Gamma_t)u\|_1 \leq \frac{\mathcal{C}}{n} u(t) \varphi(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k |\Gamma_t(x_k) - \mathcal{V}_n \Gamma_t(x_k)| \leq \frac{\mathcal{C}}{n} u(t) \varphi(t)$$

hold, hence by (24) the statement follows.  $\square$

#### 4. Conclusions

We stated a new error bound (cf. (4)) for Gauss–Jacobi quadrature rules applied to functions in the weighted Besov spaces  $B_u^s$  given in (8) with  $s \geq 1$ .

195 Our estimate implies the already known error bounds (16) in Sobolev spaces, but in the intermediate Besov spaces  $B_u^s$  with  $s > 1$ , it has the advantage of assuring the same convergence rate of the error of best polynomial approximation in  $L_u^1$  (Example 1, Corollary 1).

200 The case that  $f \in B_u^s$  with  $0 < s < 1$  remains an open problem. For the time being, an estimate is given for the functions of bounded variation (Theorem 2).

**Acknowledgements.** This work has been partially supported by GNCS–INDAM.

## References

- 205 [1] H. Brass and K. Petras, *Quadrature Theory*, AMS, Providence, RI. (2011)
- [2] M. R. Capobianco, W. Themistoclakis, On the boundedness of de la Vallée Poussin operators, *East J. on Approx.*, 7 (4), (2001), 417–444. Corrigendum in *East J. Approx.*, 13 (2), (2007), 223–226.
- 210 [3] M. R. Capobianco, W. Themistoclakis, Interpolating polynomial wavelets on  $[-1, 1]$ , *Adv. Comput. Math.*, 23 (4), (2005), 353–374.
- [4] P. J. Davis and P. Rabinowitz, *Methods of Numerical Integration*, 2nd ed., Academic Press, New York, 1984.
- [5] R. A. De Vore and L. R. Scott, Error bounds for Gaussian quadrature and weighted- $L^1$  polynomial approximation, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 21 (2), (1984), 400–412.
- 215 [6] K. Diethelm, Error Bounds for the Numerical Integration of Functions with Limited Smoothness, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 52 (2), (2014), 877–879
- [7] Z. Ditzian, V. Totik, *Moduli of smoothness*, SCMVG Springer–Verlag, New York, 1987.
- 220 [8] Z. Ditzian, V. Totik, Remarks on Besov Spaces and Best Polynomial Approximation, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 104, No. 4 (1988), 1059–1066.
- [9] J. D. Donaldson and D. Elliott, A unified approach to quadrature rules with asymptotic estimates of their remainders, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 9 (1972), 573–602.
- 225 [10] G. Evans, *Practical Numerical Integration*, Wiley, Chichester, UK, 1993.
- [11] F. Filbir, W. Themistoclakis, On the construction of de la Vallée Poussin means for orthogonal polynomials using convolution structures, *J. Comp. Anal. Appl.*, 6 (4), (2004), 297–312.
- 230 [12] K.-J. Förster and K. Petras, Error Estimates in Gaussian Quadrature for Functions of Bounded Variation, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 28(3), 880–889.
- [13] G. Freud, *Orthogonal polynomials*, Akadémiai Kiadó/Pergamon Press, Budapest, 1971.
- [14] W. Gautschi, A survey of GaussChristoffel quadrature formulae, in E. B. Christoffel (Aachen/Monschau, 1979), P. L. Butzer and F. Feher, eds., *Birkhauser*, Basel, 1981, pp. 72–147.
- 235 [15] W. Gautschi, R. S. Varga, Error bounds for Gaussian quadrature of analytic functions, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 20 (1983), 1170–1186.

- 240 [16] D. S. Lubinsky and P. Rabinowitz, Rates of convergence of Gaussian quadrature for singular integrands, *Math. Comp.*, vol. 43, n.167 (1984), 219–242.
- [17] D. S. Lubinsky and A. Sidi, Convergence of product integration rules for functions with interior and endpoint singularities over bounded and unbounded intervals, *Math. Comp.*, vol. 46, n.173 (1986), 229–245.
- 245 [18] G. Mastroianni, Generalized Christoffel functions and error of positive quadrature, *Numer. Algorithms*, 10 (1995), 113–126.
- [19] G. Mastroianni, G. Milovanovic, *Interpolation processes. Basic theory and applications*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.
- 250 [20] G. Mastroianni, M. G. Russo, Lagrange interpolation in weighted Besov spaces, *Constr. Approx.*, 15 (1999), 257–289
- [21] G. Mastroianni, M. G. Russo, W. Themistoclakis, The boundedness of the Cauchy singular integral operator in weighted Besov type spaces with uniform norms. *Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory*, 42 (1), (2002), 57–89.
- 255 [22] G. Mastroianni, W. Themistoclakis, A numerical method for the generalized airfoil equation based on the de la Vallée Poussin interpolation, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 180 (2005), 71–105.
- [23] G. Mastroianni, W. Themistoclakis, De la Vallée Poussin means and Jackson theorem, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, 74 (2008), 147–170.
- [24] P. Nevai, Orthogonal polynomials, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* n. 213, 1979.
- 260 [25] S. E. Notaris, The error norm of Gaussian quadrature formulae for weight functions of Bernstein-Szegő type, *Numer. Math.* 57(3) (1990), pp. 271–283.
- 265 [26] I. H. Sloan, Polynomial approximation on spheres - generalizing de la Valle-Poussin, *Comput. Methods Appl. Math.*, 11 (4) (2011), 540–552, DOI: 10.2478/cmam-2011-0029
- [27] I. H. Sloan, R. S. Womersley, Filtered hyperinterpolation: a constructive polynomial approximation on the sphere, *GEM Int J Geomath*, 3 (1) (2012), 95–117, DOI 10.1007/s13137-011-0029-7
- 270 [28] W. Themistoclakis, Some interpolating operators of de la Vallée Poussin type, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 84 (3), (1999), 221–235.
- [29] W. Themistoclakis, Uniform approximation on  $[-1, 1]$  via discrete de la Valle Poussin means, *Numer. Algorithms*, 60 (4), (2012), 593–612.

- [30] W. Themistoclakis, M. Van Barel, Generalized de la Valle Poussin approximations on  $[-1, 1]$ , *Numer. Algorithms* (2016), DOI: 10.1007/s11075-016-0194-8
- [31] S. Xiang, On error bounds for orthogonal polynomial expansions and Gauss-type quadrature, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 50 (3), (2012), 1240–1263.